EPJ Web of Conferences will be set by the publisher
DOI: will be set by the publisher
© Owned by the authors, published by EDP Sciences, 2016

arXiv:1602.01774v2 [hep-ph] 19 Feb 2016

Revealing transversity GPDs through the photoproduction of a photon and a ρ meson

R. Boussarie[1,a], B. Pire[2,b], L. Szymanowski[3,c], and S. Wallon[1,4,d]

[1]*Laboratoire de Physique Théorique, UMR 8627, CNRS, Univ. Paris Sud, Université Paris-Saclay, 91405 Orsay, France*
[2]*Centre de physique théorique, École Polytechnique, CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, 91128 Palaiseau, France*
[3]*National Centre for Nuclear Research (NCBJ), Warsaw, Poland*
[4]*UPMC Univ. Paris 06, Faculté de Physique,75252 Paris, France*

Abstract. Photoproduction of a pair of particles with large invariant mass is a natural extension of collinear QCD factorization theorems which have been much studied for deeply virtual Compton scattering and deeply virtual meson production. We discuss the production of a photon and a meson, where the wide angle Compton scattering on a meson subprocess factorizes from generalized parton distribution. We calculate at dominant twist and leading order in α_s, the production cross-section of a transversely polarized ρ meson which is sensitive to chiral-odd GPDs, and show that it may be measurable in near future JLab experiments.

1 Introduction

Near forward photoproduction of a pair of particles with large invariant mass is a natural extension of collinear QCD factorization theorems which have been much studied for near forward deeply virtual Compton scattering (DVCS) and deeply virtual meson production [1]. We study here the reaction

$$\gamma^{(*)}(q) + N(p_1) \to \gamma(k) + \rho^0(p_\rho, \epsilon_\rho) + N'(p_2)\,, \tag{1}$$

where a wide angle Compton scattering subprocess $\gamma(q\bar{q}) \to \gamma\rho$ characterized by the large scale $M^2_{\gamma\rho} = (p_\rho + k)^2$ (the final state $\gamma\rho$ invariant squared mass) factorizes from GPDs. This large scale $M_{\gamma\rho}$ is related to the large transverse momenta transmitted to the final photon and to the final meson, the pair having an overall small transverse momentum (since $\Delta^2 = (p_2 - p_1)^2$ is small). The study of such reactions was initiated in Ref. [2], where the process under study was the high energy diffractive photo- (or electro-) production of two vector mesons, the hard probe being the virtual pomeron exchange (and the hard scale being the virtuality of this pomeron), in analogy with the virtual photon exchange

[a]e-mail: Renaud.Boussarie@th.u-psud.fr
[b]e-mail: bernard.pire@polytechnique.edu
[c]e-mail: Lech.Szymanowski@ncbj.gov.pl
[d]e-mail: Samuel.Wallon@th.u-psud.fr

occuring in the deep inelastic electroproduction of a meson. The process we study here follows the same way of thinking as the one studied in Ref. [3], there with a π instead of a γ.

Finally, we note that a similar strategy has also been advocated in Ref. [4] to enlarge the number of processes which could be used to extract information on chiral-even GPDs.

The analogy to the timelike Compton scattering process [5] :

$$\gamma^{(*)} N \to \gamma^* N' \to \mu^+ \mu^- N' \,, \tag{2}$$

where the lepton pair has a large squared invariant mass Q^2, is instructive. Although the photon-meson pair in the process (1) has a more complex momentum flow, one may draw on this analogy to ascribe the role of the hard scale to the photon-meson pair invariant mass.

The experimental study of such processes should not present major difficulties to modern detectors such as those developed for the 12 GeV upgrade of Jlab or for the Compass experiment at CERN. Probing various processes, thus verifying the universality of the GPDs, will increase our confidence in a meaningful extraction of dominant (i.e. chiral-even) GPDs from near future experiments.

A noteworthy feature of the process (1) is that, at dominant twist, separating the transverse (respectively longitudinal) polarization of the ρ meson allows one to get access to chiral-odd (respectively chiral-even) GPDs. This is much welcome since access to the chiral-odd transversity GPDs [6], noted H_T, E_T, $\tilde{H}_T$, $\tilde{E}_T$, which decouple from deeply virtual Compton scattering and deeply virtual meson production at leading order, has turned out to be most challenging [7]. Quark mass effects [8] or production of a meson described by a twist 3 distribution amplitude [9] are two other ways which have been proposed to evade this difficulty.



Figure 1. The wide angle Compton scattering process (left) and its generalization to the photoproduction of a $\gamma\rho$ pair (right).

The calculation of the amplitude of process (1) relies on the use of the now classical proof of the factorization of exclusive scattering at fixed angle and large energy [10]. The amplitude for the wide angle Compton scattering process $\gamma + \pi \to \gamma + \rho$ is written [11] as the convolution of mesonic distribution amplitudes (DAs) and a hard scattering subprocess amplitude $\gamma + (q + \bar{q}) \to \gamma + (q + \bar{q})$ with the final meson states replaced by a collinear quark-antiquark pair. In a second stage, we extract

from the factorization procedure of the deeply virtual Compton scattering amplitude near the forward region the right to replace one entering meson DA by a $N \to N'$ GPD, and thus get Fig. 1 (right panel). The required skewness parameter ξ is written in terms of the final photon - meson squared invariant mass $M^2_{\gamma\rho}$ as

$$\xi = \frac{\tau}{2-\tau} \quad , \quad \tau = \frac{M^2_{\gamma\rho} - t}{S_{\gamma N} - M^2} \, . \tag{3}$$

Indeed the same collinear factorization property bases the validity of the leading twist approximation which either replaces the meson wave function by its DA or the $N \to N'$ transition by nucleon GPDs. A slight difference is that light cone fractions $(z, 1-z)$ leaving the DA are positive, while the corresponding fractions $(x+\xi, \xi - x)$ may be positive or negative in the case of the GPD. Our Born order calculation shows that this difference does not ruin the factorization property.

In order for the leading twist factorization of a partonic amplitude to be valid, one should avoid the dangerous kinematical regions where a small momentum transfer is exchanged in the upper blob, namely small $t' = (k-q)^2$ or small $u' = (p_\rho - q)^2$, and the resonance regions for each of the invariant squared masses $(p_\rho + p_{N'})^2$, $(k+p_\rho)^2$.

Although we here limit ourselves to an initial on-shell photon, we note that our present discussion applies as well to the case of electroproduction where a moderate virtuality of the initial photon may help access the perturbative domain with a lower value of the hard scale $M_{\gamma\rho}$.

2 Kinematics

We use the following conventions. We decompose all momenta on a Sudakov basis as $v^\mu = a\, n^\mu + b\, p^\mu + v^\mu_\perp$, with p and n the light-cone vectors $p^\mu = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2}(1,0,0,1), n^\mu = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2}(1,0,0,-1), v^\mu_\perp = (0, v^x, v^y, 0)$ and $v^2_\perp = -\vec{v}^2_t$. The particle momenta read

$$p_1^\mu = (1+\xi)\, p^\mu + \frac{M^2}{s(1+\xi)}\, n^\mu \, , \quad p_2^\mu = (1-\xi)\, p^\mu + \frac{M^2 + \vec{\Delta}_t^2}{s(1-\xi)} n^\mu + \Delta^\mu_\perp \, , \quad q^\mu = n^\mu \, , \tag{4}$$

$$k^\mu = \alpha\, n^\mu + \frac{(\vec{p}_t - \vec{\Delta}_t/2)^2}{\alpha s}\, p^\mu + p^\mu_\perp - \frac{\Delta^\mu_\perp}{2}, \;\; p^\mu_\rho = \alpha_\rho\, n^\mu + \frac{(\vec{p}_t + \vec{\Delta}_t/2)^2 + m_\rho^2}{\alpha_\rho s}\, p^\mu - p^\mu_\perp - \frac{\Delta^\mu_\perp}{2},$$

with $\bar{\alpha} = 1 - \alpha$ and M, m_ρ are the masses of the nucleon and the ρ meson. The total center-of-mass energy squared of the γ-N system is thus

$$S_{\gamma N} = (q + p_1)^2 = (1+\xi)s + M^2 \, . \tag{5}$$

From these kinematical relations it follows that :

$$2\,\xi = \frac{(\vec{p}_t - \frac{1}{2}\vec{\Delta}_t)^2}{s\,\alpha} + \frac{(\vec{p}_t + \frac{1}{2}\vec{\Delta}_t)^2 + m_\rho^2}{s\,\alpha_\rho} \, , \tag{6}$$

and

$$1 - \alpha - \alpha_\rho = \frac{2\,\xi\, M^2}{s\,(1-\xi^2)} + \frac{\vec{\Delta}_t^2}{s\,(1-\xi)} \, . \tag{7}$$

On the nucleon side, the transferred squared momentum is

$$t = (p_2 - p_1)^2 = -\frac{1+\xi}{1-\xi}\vec{\Delta}_t^2 - \frac{4\xi^2 M^2}{1-\xi^2} \, . \tag{8}$$

The other various Mandelstam invariants read

$$s' = (p_\gamma + p_\rho)^2 = M^2_{\gamma\rho} = 2\xi\, s\left(1 - \frac{2\,\xi\, M^2}{s(1-\xi^2)}\right) - \vec{\Delta}_t^2 \frac{1+\xi}{1-\xi}\,, \tag{9}$$

$$-t' = -(p_\gamma - q)^2 = \frac{(\vec{p}_t - \vec{\Delta}_t/2)^2}{\alpha}\,, \tag{10}$$

$$-u' = -(p_\rho - q)^2 = \frac{(\vec{p}_t + \vec{\Delta}_t/2)^2 + (1-\alpha_\rho)\, m_\rho^2}{\alpha_\rho}\,. \tag{11}$$

Let us remind that we are interested in the "large angle" kinematical domain where every invariant $s', -t', -u'$ is large (as compared to Λ^2_{QCD}), with the constraints $0 < \alpha, \alpha_\rho < 1$.

3 The scattering amplitude

In the spirit of the above described factorizations, the scattering amplitude of the process (1) is written as

$$\mathcal{A}(t, M^2_{\gamma\rho}, u') = \sum_{q,i} \int_{-1}^{1} dx \int_0^1 dz\; T_i^q(x, v, z)\, H_i^q(x, \xi, t) \Phi_{\rho_{L,T}}(z)\,, \tag{12}$$

where T_i^q is the hard part of the amplitude and H_i^q the corresponding (chiral-even and chiral-odd) GPDs of a parton q in the nucleon target, and $\Phi_{\rho_{L,T}}(z)$ the leading twist chiral-even (resp. chiral-odd) distribution amplitude of the ρ_L (resp. ρ_T) meson.



Figure 2. The Feynman diagrams describing the subprocess at leading order; in Feynman gauge only the 4 diagrams on the right contribute to the ρ_T case

The scattering sub-process is described by 20 Feynman diagrams, but an interesting (quark-antiquark interchange) symmetry allows to deduce the contribution of half of the diagrams from the 10 diagrams shown on Fig. 2 through a $(x \leftrightarrow -x\,;\, z \leftrightarrow 1-z)$ interchange. Moreover, in Feynman gauge, only the 4 diagrams on the right of Fig. 2 contribute to the chiral-odd case.

The scattering amplitudes get both real and imaginary parts. Focusing on the chiral-odd amplitude (since accessing transversity GPDs was the first motivation of our study), we get the following results. The z and x dependence of this amplitude can be factorized as

$$T_i^q = e_q^2\, \alpha_{em}\, \alpha_s\, \mathcal{N}(z, x)\, \mathcal{T}^i \tag{13}$$



Figure 3. The differential cross section (15) for the production of $\gamma\rho_T$ involving chiral-odd GPDs, as a function of $-u'$ at $S_{\gamma N} = 20$ GeV^2 for (from left to right, resp. in black, red, blue, green) $M^2_{\gamma\rho} = 3, 4, 5, 6$ GeV^2. The gray bands indicate the theoretical uncertainties.

with (in the gauge $p.\epsilon_k = 0$):

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}^i &= (1-\alpha)\left[\left(\epsilon_{q\perp}.p_\perp\right)\left(\epsilon_{k\perp}.\epsilon_{\rho\perp}\right) - \left(\epsilon_{k\perp}.p_\perp\right)\left(\epsilon_{q\perp}.\epsilon_{\rho\perp}\right)\right] p^i_\perp \\ &- (1+\alpha)\left(\epsilon_{\rho\perp}.p_\perp\right)\left(\epsilon_{k\perp}.\epsilon_{q\perp}\right) p^i_\perp + \alpha\left(\alpha^2-1\right)\xi s\left(\epsilon_{q\perp}.\epsilon_{k\perp}\right)\epsilon^i_\rho \\ &- \alpha\left(\alpha^2-1\right)\xi s\left[\left(\epsilon_{q\perp}.\epsilon_{\rho\perp}\right)\epsilon^i_{k\perp} - \left(\epsilon_{k\perp}.\epsilon_{\rho\perp}\right)\epsilon^i_{q\perp}\right].\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Using as a first estimate the asymptotic form of the $\rho-$meson distribution amplitude, we perform analytically the integration over z. Inserting a model for the transversity GPDs [3], we use numerical methods for the integration over x.

Starting with the expression of the scattering amplitude (12), the differential cross-section as a function of t, $M^2_{\gamma\rho}$, $-u'$ reads

$$\left.\frac{d\sigma}{dt\,du'\,dM^2_{\gamma\rho}}\right|_{|t|=|t|_{min}} = \frac{|\mathcal{M}|^2}{32 S^2_{\gamma N} M^2_{\gamma\rho}(2\pi)^3}\,. \tag{15}$$

We show in Fig. 3 this cross section (15) as a function of $-u'$ at $S_{\gamma N} = 20$ GeV^2 for various fixed values of $M^2_{\gamma\rho}$ (namely 3, 4, 5, 6 GeV^2). There are rather huge uncertainties for these cross-sections, mainly of course because of our poor knowledge of chiral-odd GPDs. One of the main uncertainties is due to the fact that the transversity PDFs [12], on which we rely to build our model for transversity GPDs, are themselves not very constrained by existing data on semi-inclusive deep inelastic scattering.

The cross section grows with $(-u')$ but its normalization is rather small. We expect a larger cross-section for the longitudinal ρ case where chiral-even GPDs contribute; this will not help disentangling

the transverse ρ cross section. A complete analysis of the angular distribution of the emerging $\pi^+\pi^-$ pair allows in principle to access the chiral-odd sensitive contribution at the amplitude level. If such an analysis is performed, the high luminosity expected at JLab@12GeV might be sufficient for an experimental evidence of a needed contribution of transversity GPDs at twist 2, in the case of a ρ_T in the final state.

Acknowledgments

L. Sz was partially supported by grant of National Science Center, Poland, No. 2015/17/B/ST2/01838. This work is partly supported by the French grant ANR PARTONS (Grant No.ANR-12-MONU-0008-01).

References

[1] M. Diehl, Phys. Rept. **388**, 41 (2003); A. V. Belitsky and A. V. Radyushkin, Phys. Rept. **418**, 1 (2005).

[2] D. Yu. Ivanov *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **550**, 65 (2002); R. Enberg *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. C **47**, 87 (2006).

[3] M. El Beiyad *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **688**, 154 (2010); cross-sections presented here should be corrected due to the omission of numerical prefactors.

[4] S. Kumano *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 074003 (2009).

[5] D. Mueller, D. Robaschik, B. Geyer, F. M. Dittes and J. Horejsi, Fortsch. Phys. **42** (1994) 101; E. R. Berger, M. Diehl and B. Pire, Eur. Phys. J. C **23** (2002) 675; B. Pire, L. Szymanowski and J. Wagner, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 034009 (2011).

[6] M. Diehl, Eur. Phys. J. C **19**, 485 (2001).

[7] M. Diehl *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 034023 (1999); J. C. Collins and M. Diehl, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 114015 (2000).

[8] B. Pire and L. Szymanowski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115** (2015) 9, 092001 and arXiv:1510.01869 [hep-ph].

[9] S. Ahmad, G. R. Goldstein and S. Liuti, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 054014 (2009); S. V. Goloskokov and P. Kroll, Eur. Phys. J. C **65**, 137 (2010).

[10] A. V. Efremov and A. V. Radyushkin, Theor. Math. Phys. **44** (1980) 573 [Teor. Mat. Fiz. **44** (1980) 17]; *ibid* Theor. Math. Phys. **44** (1981) 664 [Teor. Mat. Fiz. **44** (1980) 157]; G. P. Lepage and S. J. Brodsky, Phys. Rev. D **22** (1980) 2157.

[11] or its crossed process $\gamma\gamma \to M_1 M_2$, which is known at next to leading order, B. Nizic, Phys. Rev. D **35**, 80 (1987); G. Duplancic and B. Nizic, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97** (2006) 142003.

[12] M. Anselmino, M. Boglione, U. D'Alesio, S. Melis, F. Murgia and A. Prokudin, Phys. Rev. D **87** (2013) 094019.